

CHICAGO,, IL 60606-0229



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/182,911	10/30/1998	BARRY G. WILKS	0100.9800830	2532
	7	590 04/19/2002			
	JOHN R. GARRETT			EXAMINER	
MARKISON & RECKAMP, P.C.			LESPERANCE, JEAN E		
	P.O. BOX 06229 WACKER DRIVE				

2674

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/182,911

Applicant(s

Wilks

Advisory Action

Examiner

Jean Lesperance

Art Unit **2674**

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED Apr 15, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on _. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. 🗆 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 4. 🗆 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the 6. X application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argued that the prior art used does not teach "display resolution and display pixel depth" which constitutes the capability parameters. Examiner disagrees. Read Dye (column 6, lines 25-29). The rejection stands. 7. 🗆 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31 9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not have a limit of the proposed drawing correction filed on a limit of the limit of the proposed drawing correction filed on a limit of the proposed drawing correction filed on a limit of the proposed drawing correction filed on the limit of the limit of the limit proved by the Examiner. 10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 11. Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TICKMOLOGY CENTER 2600